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Abstract—People counting plays an important role in many people-centric applications including crowd control, traffic management and smart home energy management. With the advancements in wireless sensing, it is now possible to intelligently sense the presence of people with wireless signals. Yet, a lot of challenges arise when Wi-Fi solutions are used for counting humans due to the uncertainty of the states in the environment. In this paper, we propose a novel 3D-Convolutional Neural Network (3D-CNN) architecture able to extract features from range-Doppler images to count the number of people present in an indoor environment by detecting their movements. We generate the range-Doppler images from a Celeno Wi-Fi pulse Doppler radar that uses the 5 GHz frequency band. To the best of our knowledge, this work is the first to count people based on a Wi-Fi Doppler radar. Our experimental results show that our deep learning model is able to estimate the number of people for up to four with an average accuracy of 89%.

Index Terms—Wi-Fi sensing, Doppler radar, presence detection, people counting, deep learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless sensing has received great attention in recent years. A lot of research efforts have revealed the sensing ability to recognize human activity, human identification, localization and beyond [1]. In particular, Wi-Fi environmental sensing plays an important role in the areas of health care, smart home, security and virtual games [2]. Among its various applications, people counting in indoor environments is of great interest to many people-centric, Internet of Things (IoT) applications including crowd control, traffic management and smart home energy management. Classical solutions to this problem are devised into two broad categories: image-based and non-image based solutions. Image-based counting solutions use specific hardware (e.g., camera) for people counting. In addition to being an expensive approach, this method has many drawbacks such as Line-of-Sight (LOS) requirement, lighting conditions requirements, and privacy problems.

Non-image based solutions perform counting based on wireless signals (infrared, ultrasound, Wi-Fi, etc.). These solutions alleviate the previous drawbacks as they can perform in a Non-Line-of-Sight (NLOS) environment. They do not require lighting conditions, they significantly reduce privacy concerns and they are economical and practical solutions since they do not require additional equipment to be installed. Among the different radio technologies, Wi-Fi emerges as a promising and effective solution in wireless sensing. This can be best achieved by utilizing the Channel State Information (CSI) values captured from Wi-Fi signals highlighting the different multipaths distortions based on human activity [3].

Feature extraction combined with Machine Learning (ML) models have received great attention in activity recognition and people counting during the last decade [1]. In particular, Deep Learning (DL) has paved the way as an appealing option in extracting useful features for different classification tasks while adapting to real-world imperfections. One of the earliest work on counting people using DL is found in [4]. The authors explored the correlation between people count (up to five people) and Wi-Fi CSI variations using a fully connected feed-forward neural network with two hidden layers. Their model achieved an accuracy of 78% when neither action nor position restrictions are imposed on the volunteers. The work was extended in [5] where a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) combined with Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) DL architecture was adopted to resolve the dependencies of number of people and CSI. With this architecture, the accuracy was improved to 84.6%. In another work on counting humans using DL, the authors in [6] leveraged Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) to count people walking in an indoor environment by placing the transmitter and receiver behind the walls. The authors tested their system for a total number of 10 simultaneous people reaching an accuracy of 59%. In a similar work, the authors in [7] proposed a CNN architecture to extract features from the received CSI information to link it with the number of moving people. The model achieved an average accuracy of 71% for counting up to five people in different indoor locations.

The above mentioned work considered the relationship between CSI waveforms and people counting. Doppler radar systems have been also proposed to capture the human body motion. Opportunistic monitoring using passive Doppler radar was considered in [8]. With a wireless energy transmitter and a radar sensor, the authors generated Doppler spectrograms to extract the person’s activity from a set of actions. In a similar work, the authors in [9] studied Doppler scalograms for different human activities including walking, clapping, falling and sitting.

In this paper, we propose a novel 3D-CNN model able to extract features from range-Doppler images to count the number of people present in an indoor environment. The experiment is carried out using a commercial Wi-Fi pulse Doppler radar that operates in the 5 GHz frequency band. This radar can be integrated in any classical Wi-Fi access point that
uses the same frequency band. To the best of our knowledge, this work is the first to count people based on Doppler and micro-Doppler frequencies measured from movements such as walking and breathing. In contrast to [4]–[7] that measure the CSI between two locations, in this paper, and for the best of our knowledge, we propose the first solution to use a single device able to generate range-Doppler images for feature extraction to classify people count. We achieve an accuracy better than the ones attained in the previous presented literature. Different from [8], [9] that limit the work to one person only, our model extends the presence detection up to four people.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the pulse Doppler radar used in this work and details the signal processing steps. In Section III, we detail the architecture of the 3D-CNN used in this work. The evaluation of our proposed model is reported in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes the paper.

II. SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

In this section, we first present the hardware description and the performance of the pulse Doppler radar used in this work to sense the environment. Then, we detail the signal processing steps performed to generate the range-Doppler images capturing the presence of people. Finally, we describe the experimental setup carried out in this work. The overview of the system design block diagram is summarized in Fig. 1.

**A. Wi-Fi radar overview and performance**

The measurements in this paper have been carried out by an active pulse Doppler radar based on Celeno 802.11ac Wi-Fi chipset in the 5 GHz frequency band [10]. This radar contains one transmitter and 4 receiving antennas. Its antenna elements geometry corresponds to a uniform linear array. For calibration purposes, a reference channel that usually contains the signal from source is acquired by one of the receiving antennas’ port. The radar uses 80 MHz of bandwidth to generate Wi-Fi radio pulses with a period of 1 ms (can be modified), then it collects the back scattered echos in the form of CSI data of the shifted Doppler frequencies. We summarize in Table I the radar radio characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrier frequency</th>
<th>5.54 GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>80 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse duration</td>
<td>40 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse period</td>
<td>1 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIRP</td>
<td>11 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directivity</td>
<td>30° lobe at 3 dB and 60° lobe at 6 dB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Celeno radar has the potential to detect objects as far as 10 meters in LOS and 5-6 meters in NLOS depending on obstructing materials. It has a range resolution of \( \Delta r = \frac{c}{2B} = 1.875 \) m. The Doppler frequency resolution \( \Delta F_d \) is a function of the observation time frame \( T_{frame} \), \( \Delta F_d = \frac{1}{T_{frame}} \). In order to be able to detect people with different movement activities (e.g. walking and breathing), a fine Doppler resolution is required. In the next section, we discuss how to adjust the Doppler resolution to detect the sensitivity from different actions.

**B. CSI processing**

The presence of people in an indoor environment can be detected using pulse Doppler radar by either sensing movement or detecting breathing. These features can be obtained by measuring the Doppler frequencies received from the reflected signals of moving objects (in this case people). We divide the signal processing into two parts:

1) *Reduce spectral leakage*: We plot in Fig. 2, the average Power Delay Profile (PDP) over the different receive antennas during a total time duration \( T \). The radar samples its total 80 MHz bandwidth into 48 sample points. We then add zero padding of size \( 3 \times 48 \) to resolve the waveform signal. This results in \( 48 \times 4 = 192 \) samples. These samples can also be
expressed as follows: 

\[ C = \text{literature with different characteristics (i.e., highest side lobe reducing the spectral leakage. Many windows exist in the domain for the range axes. The choice of the window has a major impact on the side lobes attenuation, and thus on masking actual echos, we apply a window in the frequency by Fig. 2, we can observe the different delayed echos that were masked by the side lobes due to spectral leakage. To Fig. 3, we plot the average PDP is calculated as follows: 

\[ \text{PDP} = \text{FFT}(r_i) = H_i(F) \times H_s(F) \] 

where \( r_i \) is the received signal at the \( i \)-th receiver, \( s(t) \) is the transmitted pulse and \( h_i \) is the channel gain between the object and receiver \( i \). Since the transmitter is directly connected to the port of \( r_i \), \( s(t) \) can be substituted by \( r_i(t) \). FFT and iFFT are the Fast Fourier Transform and inverse Fast Fourier Transform, respectively.

In order to reduce spectral leakage and side lobes that might be masking actual echos, we apply a window in the frequency domain for the range axes. The choice of the window has a major impact on the side lobes attenuation, and thus on reducing the spectral leakage. Many windows exist in the literature with different characteristics (i.e., highest side lobe level, side lobe fall-off, etc.) [11]. In our work, we applied a Hanning window on the range axes. This window has a wide peak and low side lobes. In Fig. 3, we plot the average PDP with a Hanning window applied on the range axes. Compared to Fig. 2, we can observe the different delayed echos that were masked by the side lobes due to spectral leakage.

For each transmitted pulse, the radar captures the CSI from its returned signal in the form of amplitudes and phases over the 192 sample points. For a total of \( N \) transmitted pulses, we denote by \( C_i \) the captured CSI by the \( i \)-th receiver. It can be expressed as follows:

\[
C_i = \begin{bmatrix}
(a_{1,1}, \phi_{1,1}) & \cdots & (a_{1,192}, \phi_{1,192}) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
(a_{N,1}, \phi_{N,1}) & \cdots & (a_{N,192}, \phi_{N,192})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( a_{n,d} \) and \( \phi_{n,d} \) are the amplitude and phase received from the \( n \)-th pulse at the \( d \)-th distance point.

A range-Doppler image \( I_i \) can be generated from the above captured \( C_i \) by performing a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) over \( T_{frame} \) consisting of \( F \) pulses. A Chebyshev window is applied in the time domain with a 75 dB side attenuation to reduce spectral leakage and capture low Doppler frequencies that are around the DC component. We can write a range-Doppler image as follows:

\[
I_i = \begin{bmatrix}
|b_{1,1}| & \cdots & |b_{1,192}|
\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
|b_{F,1}| & \cdots & |b_{F,192}|
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( |b_{f,d}| \) is the amplitude of the Doppler frequency \( f_d \) at distance point \( d \).

From the total \( N \) transmitted pulses, we generate \( S \) Doppler images each containing \( F \) frequency bins. The number of images can be calculated as follows:

\[
S = \left[ \frac{N - F}{F} \right]
\]

These images will be used later as the input of our deep learning architecture.

2) Time-Frequency analysis: The Doppler images generated in the previous section are sampled in time. Thus, a sequence of these images show the variations of the Doppler frequencies in time. To address the time dependency variations, we use Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT). The idea is to pass a sliding Chebyshev window over the received signal to capture the frequency components. The window of width \( T_{frame} \) slides on the time axis with a step duration \( t_{step} \).

In order to detect human presence, a high Doppler resolution is required to capture slow movements. Breathing for instance results in a Doppler frequency of less than 1 Hz. We set the radar sampling frequency \( f_s = 200 \) Hz. We then set the window size to \( F = 1024 \) pulses and a time step \( t_{step} = 130 \) ms. This is equivalent to a time frame duration of \( T_{frame} = 1024/200 = 5.12 \) seconds. This gives us a Doppler frequency resolution of \( \Delta f_d = 1/T_{frame} = 0.195 \) Hz with a maximal Doppler frequency of \( f_{max} = \pm f_s/2 = \pm 100 \) Hz. Such resolution will allow us to capture low Doppler frequencies from respiration as well as higher frequencies from movements such as walking.

In order to reduce the process complexity of the deep learning process, we limit the size of the Doppler images to 64 frequency bins and 64 range points. This results in an image of size \( 64 \times 64 \). We do this by grouping different frequency bins to obtain a logarithmic scale on the frequency axes that spans low frequency components for micro movement detection (e.g., breathing detection) and high frequency components for macro movement detection (e.g., walking). We illustrate in Fig. 4 some examples of generated images from different scenarios.

C. Experimental setup and datasets collection

We conducted our experiment in an apartment living room representing a domestic indoor environment. The detail of the
Fig. 4: Examples of different range-Doppler images with different scenarios.

Fig. 5: Room plan and measurement locations.

CNN is a class of deep learning neural networks that is used in image processing and classification [13]. CNN networks have shown very good performances in objects recognition by automatically extracting features from raw inputs and ability to learn localized patterns through weights sharing and pooling. One important characteristic of CNN is that the extraction of features is shift-invariant. This gives CNN the ability to recognize patterns at different locations of the input [14].
A. Evaluation setup

We consider 944 samples for training and validation. Each sample consists of 38 range-Doppler images. Given that the images are generated from sliding windows of size 5,12 seconds with a time step $t_{step} = 130$ ms, each sample of 38 images is 10.06 seconds long. We detail in Table II the measurements taken for the different scenarios. We refer to $0P$ the case of empty room (zero person), as for $1P, 2P, 3P$ and $4P$ the cases where there are one to four persons. Then, we distinguish between a person sitting on a chair (s) and a walking person (w). For each scenario, we conduct different measurements. The total amount of measurement time is 158 minutes.

Because we have a limited number of training samples, we artificially increase our dataset by making translations on the range axes in the range-Doppler images. This is a possible solution since in this work we are not interested in what range (position) the frequencies were captured. Thus, we perform four translations for each image resulting in augmenting our dataset by a factor of four. Then, we adopt $K$-Fold cross validation method to train and validate the data collected. This method splits the collected data into $K$ sets. One of the $k$ sets is used for testing (validation), while the remaining $k - 1$ sets are used for training. The cross validation is repeated $K$ times with each of the $k$ sets is used exactly once as the validation data. The overall performance is then evaluated by averaging over the $K$ sets. In this work, we set $K = 5$.

B. Benchmark algorithms

We compare our 3D-CNN architecture with two baseline methods: Fully Connected Back Propagation (FCBP) neural network and Gaussian Naive Bayes (GaussianNB). FCBP is a fully connected MLP neural network which contains a lot of tunable parameters that is suited for people counting. We use a FCBP with two hidden layers. The first hidden layer contains 300 neurons whereas the second hidden layer contains 100 neurons. The input layer is the sequence of 38 images vectorized and reshaped to one dimension vector of size $64 \times 64 \times 38$.

Naive Bayes model is a classical linear classification algorithm that belongs to the family of probabilistic classifiers [15]. It is a supervised learning method based on applying Bayes theorem with strong independence (naive) assumption between features. GaussianNB assumes that the collected data are distributed according to a Gaussian (or normal) distribution. Naive Bayes classifiers do not require a lot of data to train and they are simple to implement. The input of this model is similar to the FCBP model.

IV. Evaluation and Results

In this section, we describe the datasets used for training and validation in our deep learning architecture. For training the 3D-CNN, we use the Keras library with TensorFlow 1.5 back-end in Python 3.6. The training and testing phase have been supported by two NVIDIA Quadro P5000 with CUDA Toolkit 9.1 and cuDNN 7.1 with a batch size set to 64.

We compare our 3D-CNN architecture with two baseline methods: Fully Connected Back Propagation (FCBP) neural network and Gaussian Naive Bayes (GaussianNB). FCBP is a fully connected MLP neural network which contains a lot of tunable parameters that is suited for people counting. We use a FCBP with two hidden layers. The first hidden layer contains 300 neurons whereas the second hidden layer contains 100 neurons. The input layer is the sequence of 38 images vectorized and reshaped to one dimension vector of size $64 \times 64 \times 38$.

Naive Bayes model is a classical linear classification algorithm that belongs to the family of probabilistic classifiers [15]. It is a supervised learning method based on applying Bayes theorem with strong independence (naive) assumption between features. GaussianNB assumes that the collected data are distributed according to a Gaussian (or normal) distribution. Naive Bayes classifiers do not require a lot of data to train and they are simple to implement. The input of this model is similar to the FCBP model.
TABLE II: Summary of the measurements dataset from different scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>0P</th>
<th>1P (s)</th>
<th>1P (w)</th>
<th>2P</th>
<th>2P (1s,1w)</th>
<th>3P</th>
<th>3P (1s,2w)</th>
<th>4P</th>
<th>4P (1s,3w)</th>
<th>4P (2s,1w)</th>
<th>4P (2s,2w)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement time (mins)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Results

In Table III, we compare the average classification accuracy obtained by our proposed 3D-CNN architecture with FCBP and GaussianNB. This average is obtained over the 5 experiments (Ex.#) from the 5-fold cross validation. From the obtained results, we observe that 3D-CNN outperforms the other two baseline models with an average accuracy of 89.62%, while FCBP and GaussianNB achieve 73.51% and 45.35%, respectively. The lower performance of the GaussianNB model is due to the assumption that the features are independent. Thus, it processes the range-Doppler images in the sample as one vector with independent data features. The importance of the correlation between the range-Doppler images in one sample can be well distinguished with the other two deep learning architectures as the average classification accuracy almost doubled. The accuracy achieved by FCBP shows that it is powerful enough to count people. However, 3D-CNN handles images sequence better by extracting features from the applied filters, and uses these features for classification.

TABLE III: Classification accuracy obtained from our proposed 3D-CNN architecture and other baseline methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Architecture/Method</th>
<th>Ex. 1</th>
<th>Ex. 2</th>
<th>Ex. 3</th>
<th>Ex. 4</th>
<th>Ex. 5</th>
<th>Acc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D-CNN</td>
<td>89.15%</td>
<td>87.95%</td>
<td>92.19%</td>
<td>87.28%</td>
<td>91.52%</td>
<td>89.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCBP</td>
<td>73.34%</td>
<td>69.84%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>78.83%</td>
<td>72.34%</td>
<td>73.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaussianNB</td>
<td>42.72%</td>
<td>42.35%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>45.35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We present in Fig. 7 the confusion matrix obtained from our 3D-CNN model describing the performance of our classifier. The horizontal axis corresponds to the actual class whereas the vertical axes correspond to the predicted class.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we present a novel DL model based on 3D convolutional networks to solve the problem of people counting with a pulse Doppler Wi-Fi radar. Taking into account the complexity of the environment and various cases such as sitting and walking people, we achieved a good counting accuracy of 89% for up to four people in LOS with no constraints on the actions taken by the volunteers. For future work, we focus on extending the model to sense human presence and count people in a NLOS scenario and to be able to track the positions of the people.
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